

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,906	08/15/2006	Jens Richter	0169060498	5268
22428 7590 09/01/2009 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			LEO, LEONARD R	
3000 K STRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/575,906 RICHTER, JENS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Leonard R. Leo 3744 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Trougation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/06.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.\_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 3744

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The claim broadens the scope of claim 1, which recites "a thin intermediate metal plate ... soldered both to the metal turbulence plate and to the cover plate."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "in particular oil cooler for motor vehicles" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 4 and 9-10, the phrase "preferably solder-plated at each side" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,906 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tajima et al (5,511,612). Figures 2 and 4 of Tajima et al disclose a brazed stacked plate heat exchanger comprising trough-shaped plates 35 and turbulence plates 107 with passage openings 67 and 79, base plate 71 and cover plate 47 with uppermost plate 35, which is read as an "intermediate plate."

Claims 1, 3, 6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brost et al. Figures 5-7 of Brost et al disclose a soldered stacked plate heat exchanger comprising trough-shaped plates 2 and turbulence plates 13 with unlabelled passage openings (Figure 7), base plate (i.e. lowermost plate 2) and cover plate 25 with uppermost plate 2, which is read as an "intermediate plate."

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Figures 6-7 of Brost et al disclose spherical impressions in the cover plate 25 coinciding with the passage openings.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/575,906

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 4-5, 10, 12-13 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tajima et al. (5,511,612) or Brost et al.

Tajima et al or Brost et al discloses all the claimed limitations except the specific thickness of the intermediate plate.

The specific thickness of the intermediate plate is considered to be an obvious design expedient, producing no new and/or unexpected results and solving no stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art would employ any thickness of the intermediate plate to achieve a desired structural integrity.

Regarding claims 5 and 12-13, the specific and relative diameters of the passage opening and stamped formation are considered to be obvious design expedients, producing no new and/or unexpected results and solving no stated problems.

Regarding claims 16-17, as applied to claims 6 and 15 above, Figures 6-7 of Brost et al disclose spherical impressions in the cover plate 25 coinciding with the passage openings.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 8-9, 11 and 14 are would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ Leonard R. Leo / PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3744

September 1, 2009